Rly 251/16

Previous references
Rel 586-05 B 164 B May 1907
Rel 42-07 B 1-6 B March 1915
Rel 1434-10-B 1-2 B July 1912 (Municipal)

Later references.

Rel File No 209-12

Serial Nos 1-6

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1-6

Part B for December 1915

SUBJECT

Proposal to write off the accounts for a sum of Rs 4669-3-4 outstanding inadmissible on account with the Construction of frontages on both sides of the approach road to the Jagan Mohan Palace, Mysore city —

G.O No 4491-4 B 21 12 15

Directs that the outstanding amount of Rs 4669-3-4 in connection with the construction of the frontages on both sides of the ~~Jagan Mohan Palace~~ approach road to the Jagan Mohan Palace, may forthwith be written off the accounts

G. R. S 58
h. N. Iyengar
18/1/16

GPS—4,000-4-7-11

M. S 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat | 2nd File No. 209 of 1912

Serial No

4030

and the cost thereof was ordered to be recovered by the city Municipality from the house owners concerned.

2 The sum of Rs 4659-3-4 is the balance of the expenditure incurred on the construction of the frontages in question and still outstanding adjustment in the accounts of the Comptroller's office. As the President of the Mysore City Municipal Council states that the said amount cannot be recovered from the parties at this distance of time, he recommends that the same may be written off the accounts.

3 It is respectfully submitted that the irrecoverable balance of the amount spent on the construction of frontages in the Sayaji Rao and Pleasure Palace Roads was ordered to be debited to Government revenue (Vide G O P No 5691-3/Mul 434-10-2 dated the 15th February 1912)

4 It is submitted for orders as to whether the irrecoverable balance of Rs 4659-3-10 now reported to be outstanding adjustment in the accounts of the Comptroller's Office may also be debited to State Funds.

5 Branch II

10/2/12.

NOTE—Contin. sheet.

GPB—65,000—17-8-12.

[... S 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Jul File No. 207/135 of 1912

Serial No	
	5 Branch 4 has to be consulted in the matter. 6 Submitted for orders H Rao 10/12/12 7 Forwarded to Br II for favour of opinion Sd 10/12/12
H Rao 6/2/13	8 Submitted If the administration Branch is satisfied that a case to write off the balance of Rs 4689-3-4 has been clearly made out, that appears to be no financial objection. But as funds to debit to the State funds it has to be noted that the repeal advance of Rs 30,000 was sanctioned in the D.P.W. Secretariat and was transferred to the Mysore Municipality — please see D.P.W Secretariat note dy 22/9/1400 and Go No. 3138-40 dy 13.10.[illegible] The municipality (Mysore) have done the work of recovering it and the amount that [illegible] is now reported to be irrecoverable and proposed to be written off the accounts as [illegible] therefore the result of either laxity in collection by the municipality or the inability of the [illegible] concerned to pay the amounts. It is not clear

Note—Contin. sheet.

R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat

Mk File No. 209 135 of 1912

Serial No

how this could be debited to the State Funds. Was there any letter covering the Memorandum from the Ulf had no concern whatever. Apportion had been debited to the Ulf and another portion received from the horse owners and when the latter failed to pay the amount was debited to the Ulf. In the present case the whole debit has been transferred to the Ulf and the receipts period have also been credited to the Ulf. In the case of a doubt if so launched all the amounts shown shd be debited to the Ulf from State funds. It is the Mode which it can clear why two or three accounts have been kept by the Ulf. None has been kept since. One has been found to say the facts are unascertainable. This question has first to be ascertained by the Revenue and reported whether recoverable before drawing if is sanctioned

E. F. M.

13/12 Submitted for orders with a draft calling for additional information from the Deputy President

Mk 15D

15/12/12

GPB—61,000—7-6-12

15 M Issue

17/12/12

NOTE—Contin. sheet.

G R. S 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat | Ad File No. 209 of 1912

Serial No	
2	12 Docket no. 4666 dt. 21 12 1912 to the President, Mysore City Munl. Council Calls for additional information thereon.
3	13 Letter no. 799 dated the 12th May 1914 from the Dep. Comr., Mysore dett: Forwards the Mysore City Munl. President's letter furnishing additional information and requesting sanction to the amount being, in the circumstances, written off the accounts. 14 The Munl. President has not furnished information sought on the several points referred to in this office docket. It is however seen from his letter that out of the sum of Rs 4689-3-4 proposed to be written off the accounts a sum of Rs 2897/- represents the actual cost of frontages of 5 properties the balance being the loss sustained by the Sanitary Dept. on deepening of the other frontages. Of these, the owners of two properties decline to pay, not being found to be so by any Municipal Law, the whereabouts of three of the other two were not known and that of the fifth is for a private property. 15 In these circumstances, it is

Port can they not legally liable? ans NS

Are not the properties themselves liable & are not the present owners liable? ans NS

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G R S 12]

6720

General and Revenue Secretariat | R6 File No 209 of 1912

Serial No

R

submitted that as in the case of the frontages on the Pleasure Palace and the Lazzeppa Rao Roads in the City, the Municipality had no concern with the frontages on the Zakaria Vellas Road also the work was undertaken by Govt and executed by the San Deptt. without Consulting the Municipality Nevertheless the amount spent was ordered to be treated as an advance recoverable from the Municipality who were in turn directed to recover it from the owners of houses Concerned. Thus, the amounts to recovered by the Municipality must have been credited towards the adjustment of this advance, though specific information is not available in these circumstances, it is for Consideration whether the amount of Rs 4689-3-4 remaining unadjusted may be ordered to be written off the accounts and to be debited to State Funds

O & R

The file may, it is submitted, be sent to Box II for opinion with reference to paras 9 supra

Submitted

24/7/14

Box II

Am 24/7

Chief 1357

Note—Contn sheet

GPB—10,000—18.6.14

G R S 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat | Rel File No 209 of 1912

Serial No

137

Submitted. If, under the circumstances represented, the Administrative Branch are satisfied that the outstanding balance viz Rs 4689-3-4 has to be written off the accounts it seems unobjectionable to permit it Please see also para 9 supra.
14/8/14 Jedeg)

k
7.8.14

17 Vide queries on margin of page 6

M
8/8
k

Brn)

18 Subd

If the work can be considered as coming within the purview of Sec 112 of the Munic. Regn, the amount due may be recovered from the original owner by a Civil Suit. Otherwise, not

The Govt mortgage is not a charge upon the buildings concerned under Sec 87 of the Munic. Regn. Hence, the transferee is not liable to pay it

If approved, the Govt Advocate may be consulted

Mnoed
13/8/14

NOTE—Contn sheet

GIB—10 000—13 6 14

BDS
14/8

G R. S 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 209 of 1912

Serial No

19 Sec 112 applies only to Roads Connected with Drainage.

Therefore no liability appears to attach to the owners in the absence of a mutchalika.

Forwarded unofficially to the Govt. Advocate for favour of opinion.

By order

Asst. Secretary

20/8/12

20 It appears to me that the question is one not falling within the purview of the Municipal Reg^n, but should be decided with refce to the provisions of the Contract act. The papers relating to the original arrangement with the owners under which the frontage was constructed are not in the file, but I take it from the office note that there is no express Contract on the part of the owners to reimburse the Cost either to Govt or to the Municipality, the question then for Consideration is whether

NOTE—Contin. sheet.

GPD—10,000—13-6 14

G R. S 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat | Jud File No. 209 of 1912.

Serial No

such a Contract could be implied under Sec 70 of the Contract Act In order to Enable a party to recover money paid by him from another under Sec 70 of the Contract Act it is necessary that the party sought to be made liable must not only have benefited by the payment but must also have had the opportunity of accepting or rejecting such benefit. Where no such option is left to him & the Circumstances do not show that he intended to take such benefit he cannot be said to have Enjoyed such benefit within the meaning of the Section. The Cases in question will have to be decided in the light of the said observations Inasmuch as no charge has been Created on the property itself it cannot be proceeded against in the hands of bona fide transferees

Broadway

29-8-14

for Asst

NOTE—Contin sheet.

GPB—10,000—12-6 14

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 89 of 1912

Serial No.

21 File memorandum with the Govt Advocate's N.O. opinion. In this connection, attention is invited to the last sentence of the 7th para of the President's letter under discussion, stating that at the time of the Construction of frontages No Muthahlikas were taken from owners of houses rendering them liable to pay the Cost. The Cost is now becoming a charge on the property and the present owners are not therefore liable to pay anyone

E.g. vide 22 Letter Reference to para 17

Box 10/9

Box 10/9 (Part II)

H. Govt 390

Subd 23 When the other house owners have paid their Cost of the pavia frontages to their houses, it cannot be possible by some means or other to force the two recalcitrant persons referred to in the present reference to do the Same as we all

As their owners have benefited by the frontages and presumably did not object to their being put up, majid cannot be expected that they are liable for their Cost

Please

24 Please abstain from Comments opinion (unofficially) or otherwise whether the

Note—Contin sheet

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

Ml File No. 209 of 1912

Serial No.

390

difference between Rs 4689/3/4 and Rs 2897 is really due to the causes referred to in the Presidents letter of 7 Sept 1914. A correct analysis of the amount proposed to be written off is the first thing necessary.

As regards writing off "what cannot be saved, must be endorsed Book if the failure to recover is due to defalcations or negligence of the Municipality, it must rest in the bos-

M
12/9

25 — Forwarded to Asst Comptr Loan Section for favour of furnishing the information asked for above

K.V. Rangaswamy
25/9

Asst 26/9/14

26 According to the books maintained in this office there will a balance of Rs 4689-3-4 outstanding. The details of recoveries are as follows —

Rao Bahadur Outstanding — 11,681-0-10
Coorg Local Board Interest 1243-0-8
Sale proceeds of Lands 5748-12-10
Rao 6991-13-6
Balance Outstanding — 4689-3-4

No further facts are available

K.V. Rangaswamy
for Asst Comptr Loan Section

P.T.O

No 2639
25 Sept 1914

CIVIL SECRETARIAT
- 1 OCT 1914
DAFTAR

R 34

Notes

Note—Contin. sheet

GPS—33,513—13-6-1

, R. S 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat | 2L File No. 269 of 1912

Serial No

2) The questions for consideration are two
(1) if the amount remaining unrealised in the Comp's books Viz 4669-3-4 should be regarded as irrecoverable & written off & if so (2) whether the loss should be borne by the Municipality or by the Govt:

Regarding the first head, it is necessary to know of what the above amount to be received was made from each individual separately was. how much of it was received & credited to the Trg and when and what the balance against each individual is & why it cannot be realised.

In the Comp's statement in the preceding para the total debt is 11681-0-0 while the value of the frontages as estimated by the Sanitary department was 11869-0-0. Apparently it is the latter amount which the Municipality had to recover and it was only after Sale Amounts realised that shares have been taken to the credit of the advance amounts, & write off. It is not clear why 1243-0-0 being value of lots disposed of was taken by the Comp't in the credit of the account. From the Comp's statement out of Rs 11869-0-0 recoverable on account of Value of lots frontages.

NOTE.—Contin. sheet.

G.P.B.—30,000—13-9-11

3 R. S 13]

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. 109 of 1912.

Serial No

1869
5748-12-10
6120-3-2

duly 5748-12-10 has been received and so the balance of Value of frontages is to be received as Rs 2297 as mentioned in the President's letter Ext 6,120-3-2. The President of the Municipality will have to explain what amount has been actually received towards the Value of the frontages when it was credited to the Treasury in the account of Govt. These details he has not furnished in his letter and until they are known it would be premature to say that all that was received has been really credited in the accounts if this is nothing more to recover.

When the Municipality can show that all steps possible were taken for the recovery and the amount recovered was really credited by Govt in the Treasury, the Municipality will have to bear the loss.

22-10-14

It is not clear what is meant by the "loss sustained by the Sanitary department in disposing of the other lots" mentioned in the President's letter of 2.12.12 to be presumed the difference of the frontage & the collection

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—60,000—7-11-13

[? R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. 269 of 1912.

Serial No

370

Their value was through the agency of the Municipality.

In the Ex it was said that the value of the G.O.H. Site in addition to the value of the frontage should be recovered in the case of frontages on Back Bay. It is not clear if the ~~Estimated~~ Sum of 11,869 referred to as value of frontage includes the value of Back Bay - to ascertain, ~~then~~ it is necessary to ascertain if recoveries were made on this account and what has become of them

Head?

Le 27/9/12

359

28 I think further enquiries must be made on the lines of A/S's note above -

M 27/9/12

Por IV)

29 Draft submitted. After issue, the file may, it is submitted, be forwarded to the Comptroller G.O. for explaining the circumstances under which Rs 12,43-0-0 being the value of trees & plants was taken in the Credit of the Advance Accounts.

Initials 30 Issue & forward the file to Comptroller as proposed

29/9/12 30

Sep 10/12

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

C.P.S.—No. 000—7-11-12

3720

Up

[? R. S 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat | Rel File No. 209 of 1912.

Serial No

370

Their value was through the agency of the Municipality.

In the Ex it was said that the value of the Govt Site in addition to the value of the frontage should be recovered in the case of frontages on Govt Site. It is not clear if the Sum of Rs 11,869 referred to as value of frontages includes the Value of Govt Site - Ex. Commr, therefore it is necessary to ascertain if recoveries were made on this account and what has become of them

Head)

Le
29/9/20

354

28 I think further enquiries must be made on the lines of AS's note above.

M
27/9/20

Por IV)

29 Draft submitted. After the case has been finally disposed of, it is submitted, be forwarded to the Comptroller for information explaining the circumstances under which Rs 1243-0-0 being the value of trees & plants was taken to the credit of the Advance Account.

Initialled 30 Issue & forward to file to Comptroller as proposed

29/11/12 Sec

Sup 10/12

30

NOTE—Contin. sheet.

C.P.S.—3,000—7-11-12

15

[R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. 209 of 1912.

Serial No 4

1) Letter no 4621 Dy 11.12.1914 to the President, Bezwada City Mun. Council through the Dy. Com^r, Bezwada Dt.
Calls for additional information in the matter

2) Forwarded to the Comptroller W.O. for favour of a note explaining the circumstances under which the sum of Rs 1243-0-8 being the value of tools and plant etc was taken to the credit of the above amount, as observed at page 12 supra.

By order

[illegible]
15.12.

for Offg: Secretary.

8114 Q 15-12-14

CIVIL BRANCH
1914
RECEIVED

No R 83
16 12 1914

3) Memo no 1269 dated 28.5.15
In this letter no 1169/156 dated 8.4.02 the late Superintending Engineer Sanitary Circle, recommended the waiving of the levy of Establishment and Tools and Plant Charges and the proposal was sanctioned by Government. Hence the credit referred to above. The P.W.D. Secretariat papers on the subject may be referred to, if necessary

Deputy Comptroller
P.W. Fy. Branch

NOTE—Contd. sheet.

G.P.B.—69,000—15-8-11

Pdmy 21.5.15

5253

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat | 2d File No. 209 of 1912

Serial No.	
5	34 Letter No 625 Dy no 2 G/S from Secy. Coun., Mysore Dt Forwards the Mysore City Municl. President's letter furnishing certain information with reference to serial 4. 35 File resubmitted with reference to serial 5 and the M.O. note of the Comptroller. The President is unable to furnish specific information in the matter. Attention is invited to the Secty's note - paragraphs 28 supra Mins 8.7.15 Part II) 21/7.
	36 The amount to be written off is Rs 4689-3-4 This is made up of (1) Rs 2897 actual cost of frontages on the North west side, recoverable from 5 properties. (2) Rs 1792 Loss sustained by the Sanitary Deptt in recovering the amount spent in the Construction of other frontages (Vide explanation given in para 3 of the Presidents letter No 138 Dy 16/2/15

1601 / 38 Dy 15-11

P.S.R.

23/7

W

GPB—60,000—15-5-14

Serial No

(2) has to be written off. and (1) has become irrecoverable for want of particulars & has to be written off hereafter

Subd
3 & 15. 3) The President letter does not give the information wanted
S/he is unable to furnish information from the very beginning details regarding the items to be recovered, recoveries actually effected, steps taken etc may be furnished for the balance transferred to the Municipality by the San[y] Dept - If the Municipality did not keep a demand, balance Statement and if it did not take proper steps for recovering the amount due, it may have to bear the loss

Br IV

24/8

3 & Subd for orders. Attention is invited to paragraphs 15 supra.

3/8 3) Please refer the papers connected with
30 & 15 the Municipality being entrusted with the
Subd recovery of the amounts

3/8

4) To file submitted flags N. O/Pol Q in the old file 2 2 L 8-1900 (linked herewith)

O & Q

GPB—60,000—15-6-11

5233

18

G R. S 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat | dd File No 209 of 1912

Serial No.

furnish the required information

Bhbs 6-9-15 4 I am afraid that there is no advantage in making more enquiries in the case

Bhbs 10/9 Rule 6 of 22-5-07 gives the difficulties experienced in the way of recovery, ~~in the case~~ of certain other frontages, constructed at the same time as those referred to in this file

Para 5 of that order shows also why in some cases, further steps for recovery had to be stopped, though other people had paid their shares

The case of the frontages on the Jaipur Mahan Palace Road is exactly similar to those in the Sagar Das Road & Aleamne Palace Road.

It is not possible to fix responsibility for the omission to take continued ~~sustained~~ efforts to recover the dues, in more exact terms than are in the Rule 6 of 22-5-07 nor to ~~censure~~ upon the neglect in stronger terms than in the concluding portion of that order The account has been written off Laid for orders

Res Secy

Bm 16/9

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—25,000—10/15

G. R. (S. 12)

General and Revenue Secretariat

File No. 209 of 1912

Serial No.	
42)	A draft may be put up accordingly
	for 18/9/15
43	Draft submitted
	10.15
	for 13/10
	Noted
	11/10
44.	The draft may be approved
	for 4/11/15
	2nd November
	for 4/11
45	approved
	6/11
46	7/11/15 I see no reason to withhold concurrence
	for 11
	for 9/11
47	Submitted with Regd. Endorsement 3 & 7 above.
	9/11 (Recd)
	for 11/11/15
48	I concur subject to the alterations

Note—Contin. sheet.

G R. S 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. 209 of 1912

Serial No

indicated in blue in para 2. The Comptroller has kept what accounts were for his purposes necessary & exceptions which examiner interested in the century would not be found to have effect

Pl. IV) 26/11

Sub for orders

26/11/15 The portion suggested to be omitted by the Hon'ble Mr. may be omitted & the alteration suggested by him accepted

It is a question whether the Govt. should be left to the discretion of Govt. of 22-5-07 quoted in the draft-communication after Govt's approval of the file to the Engineer-in-chief (Mr. W.)

Govt 28/11

Resolve

50. This may be done as the Hon'ble also kept to Govt

Govt 3/12/15

2nd Member

approved

8/12

Govt 10/12/15

Govt 10/12

Govt

3034 11.12.15

Govt

The draft approved by the 2nd Member in Council may issue

14.12.15

Govt 15/12

Govt 15/12

NOTE—Contin. sheet.

(GFB—25000—30-4-15.

G R. S 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat	Serial No	Rel File No: 209 of 1912.
	6	G O No 4491-4 Dt 21-12-15- Sanctioning with certain observations the debiting of the account of the Sum of Rs 4689-3-4 referred to in Rel.

NOTE.—Contin. sheet.

GPD—33,000—20-4-15.

DIARY No 1094



No 3

The City Municipal Office, Mysore,
Dated 5th September 1913.

From

K.Krishna Iyer, Esq.,
President, City Municipal
Council, Mysore

To

K.R,Srinivasaiengar, Esq., M.A.,
Secretary to the Govt of Mysore,
General & Revenue Depts

Sir,

In forwarding a copy of the Comptroller's No.1369/ T.A.D.II No.304 dated 19th August 1913, re the sum of Rs. 4,689-3-4 outstanding under advances being the amount spent on the construction of frontages on both sides of the approach road to the Jaganmohan Palace, Mysore, I have the honour to state that this balance is a very old one forming as it does a part of the expenditure incurred for the above work in 1900, by the Sanitary Department.

2. From a perusal of the available records on the subject it is seen that nearly Rs.30,000/- had to be recovered from the parties and the sale of lands that remained undisposed, and the President, Municipal Board, in his No.418 dated 6th December 1901 expressed a doubt whether such a large amount could be realised on account of the fall in the market value of house-

1912.

From

The President, City Muni
Council, Mysore

To

The Secy to Govt of Mysore,
Gen and Rev Depts

Dated 5th
Despatched 6th } September 1912

Received

General No 37
No

Enclosure No. one

Requesting sanction to write off the accounts Rs. 4689-3-4 outstanding under advances being the amount spent on the construction of frontages in the approach road to Jagan Mohan Palace, Mysore.

GIPM.—18-15-1912—250

23

house-properties in the City.

3 The amount now left unrecovered forms but a small part of the large sum of Rs.30,000/- referred to in para 2 above No details are available for ascertaining who is responsible for the payment of this amount viz, Rs 4,689-3-4 and the Comptroller in his communication (enclosed) informs that no such reference is forthcoming in his office also and suggests that the outstanding balance may be written off the accounts

4 For the foregoing reasons, I see no other alternative than to avail myself of the Comptroller's suggestions and I accordingly request you to kindly obtain the sanction of Government with a view to the balance amount being written off the accounts

I have the honour to be,

S i r,

Your most obedient servant,

for President

R.V.R

Docket No.1369/T.A.D.II No.204 dated the 19th August 1912 (24

from the Comptroller to the Government of Mysore, to the Deputy Commissioner and President, City Municipal Council, Mysore.

With reference to his No.50 dated 3rd April 1912 requesting details for Rs 4,689-3-4 outstanding under advances on account of amount spent for constructing frontage in the approach road to -- Jagan Mohan Palace, Mysore, has the honour to state this amount is the balance of the expenditure incurred by the Sanitary Department in September 1900 and transferred to Municipal Funds as per Public Works Secretary's No 857/4722 dated 4th October 1900 which was again adjusted by debit to advances recoverable and credit to Municipal Funds in January 1901 as per Government Order No 3138-40 M1.292 dated 13th October 1900 and is therefore still outstanding in the accounts of this office No more details are available in this office If it is not possible to adjust this amount by recovery from the parties or otherwise, it is requested that ---- sanction of Government may be obtained for writing off the amount in the accounts of this office, as was done in regard to balances of the amount spent for constructing frontages in the Sayaji Rao and Pleasure Palace roads, Mysore, (Vide Government Order No 5681-3 M1 434-10 dated 15th February 1913 and F1 No 3329/G F 363-11-1

dated 20th February 1913)

Hon'ble Vice President

R.V.R.

19 12 12

(96)

GRS 26]

File No. 209-12

Serial No. 24

Diary No of letter replied to 1571

No 4464
ml 209-12

General and Revenue Secretariat
(Mel.)
Dated the 16 12. 1912

To 9/21/12/12
The President, Mysore
City Municipal Council

Date of issue 21 12 12

Signed by Asst SPR

His letter No. 37 dated the 5th Sept. 1912 re the adjustment of a sum of Rs 4689-3-4 outstanding under advances and of the amount spent in the construction of frontages on the approach road to the Jaganmohan Palace in the City

Yes

SUBJECT

27

to the above, beg the honour to request the Transvaal President to be so good as to state whether no Railways Accounts were kept by the Municipality to show the persons from whom they had to receive the Fares due & whether the Municipality received any Money at all on this account from the parties Concerned, and if they did, to what Fund was the amount Credited whether to Transvl. or State Fund & whether any details are forthcoming as to the persons liable to pay the amounts & as to whom they are repetas

"By order"

List of enclosures,
to be returned

Copied by

Examined by

GPB—10,000—28-8-11.

S159-12

Office of the Deputy Commissioner
Mysore Electric

79 Dated Mysore 13 May 1914

Ref 209-12/A3

To Sri Subramanya Iyer, Esq, BA,
Deputy Commissioner
Mysore Electric

To,

M Narasinga Rao, Esq, BA, BL,
Offg Secretary to the Govt of
H.H the Maharaja of Mysore,
Local and Rev Department
Bangalore

Sir,

I have the honor to submit herewith letter No 208 dated 7-5-14 received from the President of the Mysore City Municipal Council re balance outstanding in connection with the construction of footpaths the approach road to the Jaganmohan Palace

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your Most obedient servant

Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore Electric

/4

From 191

To

Dated

Despatched

Received

General No.

No.

Enclosure No.

GPD—20,000—254-12

No 207

The City Municipal Office, Mysore,
Dated 7th May ....191 4.

Rec'd 8-5-14 207-12/813

From

T.G.Lukshmana Rao, Esq., L.C.E.,

President, City Municipal Council, Mysore.

Through the Deputy Commissioner.

To

K.R.Sreenivasa Iyengar, Esq., M.A.,

Secretary to the Govt of Mysore, General & Revenue Depts

Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to correspondence ending with - your No. 10833 dated 28th April 1914 re adjustment of Rs. 4689-3-4 in connection with the construction of frontages - on the approach road to the Jaganmohen Palace, I have the honor to state that the money has to be recovered from only 5 properties as noted in the margin. The actual amount spent for the walls of these frontages was only Rs. 2897-0-0 as detailed in letter No. 1704/664 dated 1st August 1900 - from the Superintending Engineer, Sanetary Works (Copy enclosed for ready reference). It is therefore surmised that the - sum of Rs. 4689-3-4, the adjustment of which is now called for, includes the loss sustained by the Sanitary Department ~~in~~ in disposing of the other frontages &c., I need not say that this sum due before is not a legitimate charge recoverable on the frontages of the five properties above referred to. As regards the recovery of the sum of Rs. 2897/-, the actual cost of the - frontages above referred to it is not possible to do so as - two of the owners refuse to pay for them, the whereabouts of two ~~of them~~ above, are not known, and one of the properties is Government property. Since no patchali's were taken

Rajanna & Sons..... Rs 1240/-
Vellianna. ..... " 515/-
Ramaswami Iyengar,... ..... " 739/-
Total Rs 2,897/-

This man has gone somewhere & his whereabouts not known.
This belongs to one Lakshmanachar minor, his guardian A.Narainiyengar, Inspector of Schools in the Hassan District. His presence could not be procured.

1914

From

The President, City Muni. Council, Mysore.

through The Deputy Commissioner

To

The Secy to Govt. of Mysore, Gen. and Rev. Depts

Dated 7th } May 1914
Despatched 9th }
Received

General No. 206
No

Enclosure No. One

RD
10 4 15
L-74

Requesting to kindly obtain Government Sanction for writing off the accounts the sum of ~~Rs~~ 4687-3-4 Rs balance outstanding in connection with the construction of frontages or approach roads to the Jaganmoha Palace, for reasons stated in the letter.

from the owners of houses binding them to pay the cost of the frontages, when the frontages were constructed, the - amounts cannot be recovered from them by any coercive processes

Under the above circumstances, I request you to kindly lay the above facts before the Government and obtain their sanction to write off the accounts the sum of Rs 4689-3-4 as irrecoverable

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

T Krishnamma Rao

President

M.R.V

31

Enc 6 ind 209-12/893

Letter No. 1704/664 dated 1st August 1900 , from the Superintending Engineer, Sanitary Works, Bangalore, to the Vice President, Mysore City, Mysore.

- IUYYYYYYYYYYYYYYYYYYYUI -

His No. 59 of 26th July 1900 requesting to be informed of the cost of the frontage wall of each site on Lutchmi Vilasa road & enquiring whether it has been decided to sell the shop - sites belonging to Government.

Referring to the above has the honor to annex overleaf the value of frontages as estimated and to state that the - Government will be addressed at once for order for the sale of the Bazaars.

Sd/- Standish Lee

Superintending Engineer,

Sanitary Works.

Constructing frontages to houses in Lakshmi Vilasa Road

South East side.

No. I Block Ramanujalu Naidoo	= Rs.	1,375/-
No. II ... . Govt. site.	= "	533/-
No. 3 ..... " "	= "	744/-
No. 4 .. ... " "	= "	518/-
No. 5 ..... . " "	= "	776/-

South West side.

No. 1 Block Govt. site	= "	891/-
" 2 Neelacantiah.	= "	1054/-
" 3 " "	= "	511/-

North East side.

" 1. Block Taggappa.	= "	526/-
" 2 " Bajjannah.	= "	727/-
" 3 " "	= "	595/-
" 4 " Thadappa.	= "	280/-
" 5 " Chickanna.	= "	226/-
" 6 " Oggappa.	= "	496/-

P.T.O.

North West side

No 1.	Block Bajjannah,	=	Rs.	470/-
" 2.	" "	=	"	770/-
" 3.	Yellappa	=	"	325/-
" 4	Mallakanna.	=	"	315/-
" 5	Narasimha Rangachar	=	"	739/-

2617
280
2897

Total.... = 11,869

"C O P Y"

Lakshmana Rao

President

M.R V

60/12

D 5220

G R. S 26]

File No. 207-12

Serial No 4

Diary No of letter replied to 6720

No. 4621

mlaag-12-4 9/11/12.14

General and Revenue Secretariat
(Mumb.)
D, the 29. 11. 1914

Date of issue 1/12/14

Signed by Mr M S Ramachandrachar

To

The President, Mysore City Municipal Council, through the Dy. Com^r, Mysore District.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. dated the 7th May 1914 about the appointment of the amount of Rs 4689-3-4 required in connection with the Construction of Steps on the approach road to the Jagan Mohan Palace at Mysore, I am directed to request

over

SUBJECT

you to be so good as to furnish
additional information on
the following points in the form of a statement:—
(1) ~~What is the total cost of~~ each of
the frontages referred to excluding
the value of the Govt. land on which they
~~is~~ might have been built
(2) ~~The total~~ Cost of each Govt.
lands
(3) The individuals from whom
the the two aforesaid amounts
had to be recovered, the amounts
actually recovered from each,
and the balance outstanding
against each; and the dates
on which the amounts so recovered
were credited to the Treasury
(4) Whether the amounts so
recovered were placed at the
credit of the Govt. or the
Municipality
(5) Several steps taken for
the recovery of the balance

2) It is not clear what is
meant

List of

Copied by

Examined by

GPP—H.MD—433

HC 209-12

(3)

GRS 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

(Continuation Sheet draft form)

O

amounts by the "loss sustained by the Sanitary Deptt on the disposal of the other frontages mentioned in your letter, & the disposal of the frontages and the recovery of their value has to be through the agency of the Municipality as proposed by under G.O No. 3138-40 / H 292 Dt the 13th Oct. 1900. This point may be made clear.

I have the

hon -
10/12

Ans for Offg S/

(IB—10 000 ¥2 1)

36

Office of the Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District
Mysore, dated 20th February 1915

A.Subramanya Iyer, Esq.,B.A.,
Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

D.M.Narasinga Rao, Esq ,B A ,B L.,
Offg. Secretary to the Government
of Mysore
General and Revenue Departments

I have the honor to submit herewith letter No 138 16/17th February 1915 received from the President of the - e City Municipal Council submitting a statement containing intion regarding the construction of frontages on the approach to the Jaganmohan Palace

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient servant

Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

From

To

Dated

Despatched

Received

General No

No

Enclosure No

GPB—50 000—8-1 13

Enclo Ml 209-12-3

No. 138

The City Municipal Office, Mysore,
Dated 16th February 1915.

From

T.G.Lukshmana Rao, Esquire., L.C.E.,

President, City Municipal Council,
Mysore.

To

D.M.Narasinga Rao, Esquire., B.A.,B.L.,

Secretary to the Govt of Mysore,
General & Revenue Department
"Through the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore."

Sir,.

With reference to correspondence ending with your No.4621/ Ml. 209-12-4, dated 11th December 1914, I have the honour to enclose herewith a statement containing additional information on certain points referred to in the above.

2. It will be seen therefrom that columns 3 & 4 could not be filled-up as the particulars required therein were not at any time received either from the Superintending Engineer who was in-charge of frontages or from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, who disposed of the same and consequently no accounts relating thereto were maintained in this office.

3. With regard to para 2 of your letter under reply I have to state that the Sanitary Department has disposed of the frontages for a lesser amount than what was actually spent upon them and there was therefore some loss which was allowed to - remain outstanding instead of being written off the accounts and although the Municipality was responsible for the disposal of - frontages and the recovery of their value as per Government Order No 3138-40/Fl.282- dated 13th October 1900, it was not entrusted with the work from the begining but the balance at the end was transferred to it for recovery.

1915.

From

The President, City Muni Council, Mysore

To

The Secy to Govt of Mysore, Gen. & Rev Depts

Memo. The Deputy Commissioner

Dated 16th
Despatched 17th } February 191
Received

General No 138
No

Enclosure No one

Submitting statement containing additional information on certain points regarding the Construction of frontages on the approach Road to the Jagammohan Palace at Mysore

18-2-915
L.T.
18-2-1915

MYPM—8-2-1914—400.

4 As already represented this amount cannot be recovered at present and I therefore request you once again to kindly lay the matter before the Government and obtain their sanction to write off the amount as irrecoverable

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Y o u r most obedient servant,

President

M.R V

39

Statement showing the particulars regarding the construction of frontages on the approach road to the Jagammohan Palace at

M Y S O R E.

Ans to Ltr 209-12-5

Cost of the frontages re-ferred to excluding the value of the Govt lands on which they might have been built.	The cost of such Government lands.	The individuals from whom the two aforesaid amounts had to be recovered, the amounts actually recovered from each, the balance out-standing against each and the dates on which the amounts so recovered were credited to the Treasury.	Whether the amounts so re covered were placed at the credit of the Government of the Municipality.	Several steps taken for the recovery of the balance.	Remarks
Rs.11,651-0-10	Rs.19,182-0-0	Particulars not available in this Office.			

Dated 16th February 1915.

Mysore.

M.R.V.

President.

4 16/1 // Adjustment of the Cost of frontages on the approach road to Jalan Mohan Palace at Alleyore.

GRS 28]

File No Reg-12
Serial No 6

Diary No of letter replied to 6233-14

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT
(Rul)

, the 191

READ—

Letter No. 37 dated the 5th September 1912 from the President, Alleyore City Municipal Council requesting in the circumstances detailed by him sanction to a sum of Rs 4,669-3-4 being a portion of the amount spent in 1900 in the construction of frontages on either side of the approach road

Date of issue

Signed by

ORDER THEREON—No

SUBJECT

GPB—50 000—1 12-17

to Jaffan Mohan Palace at Mysore, and shown in the accounts as outstanding under advances, being written off.

2. Correspondence ending with letter No. 138 dated the 16th Feb 1915 from the Asst Chief, furnishing additional information in the matter.

Order G.O. 4491-Gen Dept 209-2-6 dt 21-12-15

The amount remaining unadjusted forms part of the expenditure incurred in 1900 by the then Asst Engineer Standish Lee in the construction of fortalys on either side of the approach road to Jaffan Mohan Palace, out of the sum of Rs 3000/- placed at the disposal of the Dy Comr, Mysore Distt, in P.S.N° 3135-40/4 292 dated the 13th Oct 1900. Under the terms of that order the entire expenditure

Ind Jr-R Conf

G R. S 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

(*Continuation sheet draft form.*)

on the said frontages should be treated as an advance to the Municipality only subject to repayment by the Municipality after recovery from the owners of houses concerned.

2. The present correspondence shows that proper steps were not taken to give effect to that order in its entirety. Out of the sum of Rs 4,689-3-4, Rs 2,977/1- is stated to be the actual cost of frontages of 5 properties and the balance is remitted to be the loss sustained by the Sanit. Dept in disposing of the other frontages. The owners of two of these properties decline to pay the cost of the frontages, not being bound to do so by any Mutchalika, the whereabouts of the owners of

GPS—80,423—7-7 14

two other properties are not known and the remaining property belongs to Govt.

3. This case is similar to the one in which expenditure was incurred in the construction of footpaths on the Sayaji Rao Road and the Pleasure Palace Road and the remarks in para 6 of G.D. No. 10904-71 and 586-06-4 dated the 22nd May 1907 are applicable to this case also.

4. Govt therefore direct that the outstanding amount under reference be written off in accounts and debited to State Funds.

K

By order &c.,

To.

The President Municipality Gd
" Dy Comr, Mysore Gd
" Chief Engr in Mysore
  Secretary P.W. Secl Committee
  relating to marriage Pavilions &c.
" Comptroller Municipal Fund II

11.12.15